

AALBORG UNIVERSITY

**Generalized connected domination
in graphs**

by

M. Kouider and P.D. Vestergaard

November 2003

R-2003-21

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
AALBORG UNIVERSITY**

Fredrik Bajers Vej 7G ▪ DK-9220 Aalborg Øst ▪ Denmark

Phone: +45 96 35 80 80 ▪ Telefax: +45 98 15 81 29

URL: www.math.auc.dk/research/reports/reports.htm



Generalized connected domination in graphs

Mekhia Kouider

Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique, UMR 8623,
Bât. 490, Université Paris Sud, 91405 Orsay, France.

E-mail: km@lri.fr

Preben Dahl Vestergaard

Dept. of Mathematics, Aalborg University,
Fredrik Bajers Vej 7G, DK-9220 Aalborg Ø, Denmark.

E-mail: pdv@math.auc.dk

November 18, 2003

Abstract

As a generalization of connected domination in a graph G we consider domination by sets having at most k components. The order $\gamma_c^k(G)$ of such a smallest set we relate to $\gamma_c(G)$, the order of a smallest connected dominating set.

For a tree T we give bounds on $\gamma_c^k(T)$ in terms of minimum valency and diameter. For trees the inequality $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 1$ is known to hold, we determine the class of trees, for which equality holds.

please also repeat in the submission form

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	General graphs	3
2.1	Other bounds on γ_c^k	4
3	Trees	6

1 Introduction

We consider simple non-oriented graphs. The largest valency in G is denoted by $\Delta(G) = \Delta$, the smallest by $\delta(G) = \delta$. P_n is a path on n vertices and C_n is a circuit on n vertices. In a graph a **leaf** or **pendent vertex** is a vertex of valency one and a **stem** is a vertex adjacent to at least one leaf. In K_2 a vertex is both a leaf and a stem. The set of leaves in T is denoted by $\Omega(T)$. By $K_{1,k}$ we denote a star with one central vertex joined to k other vertices. A **subdivided star** is a star with a subdivision vertex on each edge. A graph G is called a **corona graph** if each vertex of G is a leaf or a stem adjacent to exactly one leaf. For a corona graph we write $G = H \circ K_1$, where H is the subgraph in G spanned by all stems in G . If H is a tree we obtain a **corona tree** $T = H \circ K_1$.

The **eccentricity** $e(x)$ of a vertex x is the distance to a vertex at maximum distance from it, $e(x) = \max\{d(x, y) | y \in V(G)\}$. The **diameter** of G is $\text{diam}(G) = \max\{e(x) | x \in V(G)\}$. Let $D \subseteq V(G)$, then $N(D)$ is the set of vertices which have a neighbour in D and $N[D]$ is the set of vertices which are in D or have a neighbour in D , $N[D] = D \cup N(D)$. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ **dominates** G if $V(G) \subseteq N[D]$, i.e. each vertex not in D is adjacent to a vertex in D . The **domination number** $\gamma(G)$ is the cardinality of a smallest dominating set in G .

Ore (1962) proved the inequality below and Payan and Xuong (1982), Fink et al. (1985) determined its extremal graphs.

Theorem (Ore, Payan, Xuong). *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, $n \geq 2$. Then $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and equality holds if and only if G is either a corona graph or a 4-circuit.*

If a tree T has $\gamma(T) = \frac{n}{2}$, then n is even and this Theorem implies that T is a corona tree.

Definition For a positive integer k and a graph G with at most k components we define

$$\gamma_c^k(G) = \min \{|D| | D \subseteq V(G), D \text{ has at most } k \text{ components and } D \text{ dominates } G\}.$$

A set D attaining the minimum above is called a γ_c^k -set for G .

Example

$$\gamma_c^k(P_n) = \gamma_c^k(C_n) = \begin{cases} n - 2k & \text{for } n \geq 3k \\ \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil & \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq 3k \end{cases}$$

For $k = 1$ we have that γ_c^1 is the usual connected domination number, $\gamma_c^1(G) = \gamma_c(G)$.

For G connected and $k \geq 1$, obviously, $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_c^k(G) \leq \gamma_c(G)$.

2 General graphs

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and k a positive integer. Let $\epsilon_F(G)$ be the maximum number of leaves among all spanning forests of G , let $\epsilon_T(G)$ be the maximum number of leaves among all spanning trees of G . Then Niemen (1974) proved statement (i) below about γ and (Hedetniemi and Laskar (1984) generalized it to statement (ii) about γ_c .

(i) $\gamma(G) = n - \epsilon_F(G)$,

(ii) $\gamma_c(G) = n - \epsilon_T(G)$.

We extend these results to γ_c^k .

Theorem 1 *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and k a positive integer. Let $\epsilon_{F_k}(G)$ be the maximum number of leaves among all spanning forests of G with at most k trees. Then*

$$\gamma_c^k(G) = n - \epsilon_{F_k}(G).$$

Proof: In any spanning forest F with at most k trees the leaves will be dominated by their stems, so $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - |\Omega(F)|$ and hence $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - \epsilon_{F_k}(G)$.

Conversely, let $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_t$, $1 \leq t \leq k$, be a γ_c^k -set for G . Choose for each D_i a spanning tree T_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. For each vertex in $V(G) - D$ choose one edge to D . We have constructed a spanning forest F with t components and at least $n - |D| = n - \gamma_c^k(G)$ leaves. Therefore $\epsilon_{F_k}(G) \geq n - \gamma_c^k(G)$ and Theorem 1 is proven. \square

Theorem 2 *Let k be a positive integer and G a connected graph. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_c^k(G) &= \min \{ \gamma_c^k(F_k) \mid F_k \text{ is a spanning forest of } G \text{ with at most } k \text{ trees} \} \\ &= \min \{ \gamma_c^k(T) \mid T \text{ is a spanning tree of } G \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let F_k be a spanning forest of G with at most k trees. Certainly $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq \gamma_c^k(F_k)$ since a set which dominates in F_k also dominates in G . Conversely, we can in G find a spanning forest F_k with at most k components such that $\gamma_c^k(G) = \gamma_c^k(F_k)$: As was also done in the proofs of (i) and (ii) above we construct F_k from a γ_c^k -set $D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_t$, $1 \leq t \leq k$, by choosing a spanning tree T_i in each connected subgraph D_i and joining each vertex in $V(G) - D$ to precisely one vertex in D . Obviously, $\gamma_c^k(F_k) \leq |D| = \gamma_c^k(G)$. This proves the first equality. For the second equality we observe that the first minimum is chosen among a larger set, so that $\min \gamma_c^k(F_k) \leq \min \gamma_c^k(T)$, and secondly that any F_k by addition of edges renders a tree T with $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq \gamma_c^k(F_k)$. \square

Hartnell and Vestergaard (2003a) proved the following result.

Theorem (Hartnell, Vestergaard). *For $k \geq 1$ and G connected*

$$\gamma_c(G) - 2(k - 1) \leq \gamma_c^k(G) \leq \gamma_c(G).$$

From this theorem we can easily derive the following classical result proven by Duchet and Meyniel (1982).

Corollary (Duchet, Meyniel) *For any connected graph G , $\gamma_c(G) \leq 3\gamma(G) - 2$.*

Proof: Let G be a connected graph with domination number $\gamma(G)$. Choose $k = \gamma(G)$, then $\gamma_c^k(G) = \gamma(G)$. Substituting into Hartnell's and Vestergaard's theorem above we obtain $\gamma_c(G) - 2(k - 1) \leq \gamma(G)$ and that proves the corollary. \square

2.1 Other bounds on γ_c^k

Theorem 3 *For a positive integer k and a connected graph G with maximum valency Δ we have*

(A) $\gamma_c(G) \leq n - \Delta$ and for trees T equality holds if and only if T has at most one vertex of valency ≥ 3 .

(B) $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - \frac{(D - 1)(\delta - 2)}{3} - 2k$ if G has diameter $D \geq 3k - 1$ and the minimum valency $\delta = \delta(G)$ is at least 3.

(C) If G is a connected graph with two vertices of valency Δ at distance d apart, $d \geq 3$, then $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - 2(\Delta - 1) - 2 \min\{k - 1, \frac{d - 2}{3}\}$.

(D) Let $x \in V(G)$ have valency $d(x)$ and eccentricity $e(x)$. Then $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - d(x) - 2 \min\{k - 1, \frac{e(x) - 2}{3}\}$.

Proof: (A). Let T be a spanning tree of G with $\Delta(T) = \Delta(G) = \Delta$. T has at least Δ leaves, and hence $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(T) \leq n - \Delta$.

If T has two vertices of valency ≥ 3 , the number of leaves in T will be larger than Δ , and we get strict inequality in (A). Clearly, a tree T with exactly one vertex of valency $\Delta \geq 3$ has equality in (A) and for $\Delta = 2$, $\gamma_c(P_n) = n - 2$.

(B). Let $P = v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_{3t+u}$, $k \leq t, 0 \leq u \leq 2$, be a diagonal path in G . P has length $D = 3t + u - 1$. For $i = 1, \dots, t$ let v_{3i-1} have neighbours v_{3i-2}, v_{3i} and a_{ij} , $j = 1, \dots, j \geq \delta - 2 \geq 1$. In $G - \{v_{3i} v_{3i+1} | 1 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$ consider the $k - 1$ disjoint stars with center v_{3i-1} and neighbours $N(v_{3i-1})$, $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, and the tree consisting of the path $v_{3k-2} v_{3k-1} v_{3k} \dots v_{3t+u}$ and leaves $v_{3i-1} a_{3i-1,j}$, $j = 1, \dots$ from vertices v_{3i-1} , $k \leq i \leq t$.

Extend this forest of k trees to a spanning forest F with k trees in $G - \{v_{3i} v_{3i+1} | 1 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$. The number of leaves in F is at least $t(\delta - 2) + 2k$ and hence $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - t(\delta - 2) - 2k$. From $t = \frac{D + 1 - u}{3} \geq \frac{D - 1}{3}$ we obtain $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - \frac{(D - 1)(\delta - 2)}{3} - 2k$.

(C). Let $d(v_1) = d(v_s) = \Delta$ and let $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_s$ be a shortest $v_1 v_s$ -path, $s = 3t + 1 + u, t \geq 1, 0 \leq u \leq 2$.

$t \geq k - 1$: In $G - \{v_{3i-1} v_{3i} | 1 \leq i \leq k - 2\}$ we extend the k trees below to a spanning forest F of G ,

1. The star consisting of v_1 joined to all its neighbours,
2. the $k - 2$ paths of length two $v_{3i} v_{3i+1} v_{3i+2}$, $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$,
3. the path $v_{3k-3} v_{3k-2} \dots v_s$ together with all $\Delta - 1$ neighbours of v_s outside of P .

F will have at least $2(\Delta - 1) + 2(k - 1)$ leaves.

$t \leq k - 2$: $s = 3t + 1 + u, d = d(v_1, v_s) = s - 1 = 3t + u, t - 1 = \frac{d - u}{3} - 1 \geq \frac{d - 2}{3} - 1$. As before, we can find a spanning forest F of G whose number of leaves is at least $2\Delta + 2(t - 1) \geq 2(\Delta - 1) + 2\frac{d - 2}{3}$ and consequently $\gamma_c^k(G) \leq n - 2(\Delta - 1) - 2\frac{d - 2}{3}$. The proof of (D) is similar. \square

3 Trees

For trees Hartnell and Vestergaard (2003a) found

Theorem (Hartnell, Vestergaard). *Let k be a positive integer and T a tree with $|V(T)| = n, n \geq 2k + 1$. Then $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 1$.*

This inequality is best possible. For $k = 1$ the extremal trees are paths P_n and for $k \geq 2$ extremal trees will be described in the following Theorem 4.

A tree is of type A if T contains a vertex x_0 such that $T - x_0$ is a forest of trees $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\alpha, \alpha \geq 1$, such that each tree T_i is a corona tree and x_0 is joined to a stem in each of the trees $T_i, 1 \leq i \leq \alpha$. We note that a subdivision of a star is a tree of type A.

A tree is of type B if T contains a path uvw such that $T - \{u, v, w\}$ is a forest of corona trees $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_s, T_{s+1}, \dots, T_\alpha, \alpha \geq 2, 1 \leq s < \alpha$ and u is joined to a stem in each of the trees T_1, T_2, \dots, T_s , while w is joined to a stem in each of the trees T_{s+1}, \dots, T_α .

The theorem below was proven by Randerath and Volkmann (1998) and Baogen et al. (2000).

Theorem (Randerath, Volkmann, Baogen, Cockayne, et al.). *If T is a tree with n vertices, n odd, and $\gamma(T) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ then T is a tree of type A or B.*

We shall now determine the trees extremal for Hartnell, Vestergaard's Theorem.

Theorem 4 *Let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer and T a tree with n vertices, $n \geq 2k + 1$. Then $\gamma_c^k(T) = n - k - 1$ if and only if one of cases (i)-(iii) below occur.*

(i) $k = \frac{n-1}{2}, \gamma_c^k(T) = \gamma(T) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and T is of type A or B.

(ii) $k = \frac{n-2}{2}, \gamma_c^k(T) = \gamma(T) = \frac{n}{2}$ and T is a corona tree.

(iii) $k = \frac{n-3}{2}, \gamma_c^k(T) = \frac{n+1}{2}, \gamma(T) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and T is a star $K_{1,k+1}$ with a subdivision vertex on each edge.

Proof: Let $k \geq 2$ and a tree T of order n be given such that $n \geq 2k + 1$ and $\gamma_c^k(T) = n - k - 1$. We shall prove that one of cases (i)-(iii) must occur.

We note that $\gamma(T) \leq k$ as well as $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq k$ implies $\gamma_c^k(T) = \gamma(T)$. We also note that for $k \geq 1$ and a tree T of order $n \geq 2$ we either have $n \geq 2k + 1$ and

then $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 1$ by Hartnell, Vestergaard's Theorem or $2 \leq n \leq 2k$ and $\gamma_c^k(T) = \gamma(T) \leq \frac{n}{2}$ by Ore, Payan, Xuong's Theorem.

If $n = 2k + 1$ we have $\gamma_c^k(T) = n - k - 1 = k$. By the remark above $\gamma(T) = k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and from the Theorem by Randerath et al. we see that T is a tree of type A or B, so (i) occurs. If $n = 2k + 2$ we have $\gamma_c^k(T) = n - k - 1 = k + 1$ and $\gamma(T) = \gamma_c^k(T) = \frac{n}{2}$, so T by Ore, Payan, Xuong's Theorem is a corona tree and (ii) occurs. We may now assume $n \geq 2k + 3$.

Let $v_1 v_2 \dots v_\alpha$ be a longest path in T . Since $\gamma_c^k(T) = n - k - 1 \geq k + 2 \geq 4$, T is neither a star nor a bistar, so $\alpha \geq 5$. We have $d_T(v_2) = 2$. Otherwise $d_T(v_2) \geq 3$ and we could from T delete three leaves adjacent to v_2 if $d_T(v_2) \geq 4$ and in case $d_T(v_2) = 3$ we could delete v_2 and two leaves adjacent to it obtaining in both cases a tree T' of order $n - 3 \geq 2(k - 1) + 1$ which by Hartnell, Vestergaard's Theorem has $\gamma_c^{k-1}(T') \leq (n - 3) - (k - 1) - 1 \leq n - k - 3$. Adding v_2 to a $\gamma_c^{k-1}(T')$ -set we would obtain $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 2$, a contradiction so $d_T(v_2) = 2$. No leaf is adjacent to v_3 because, if c were a leaf adjacent to v_3 let d denote either another leaf adjacent to v_3 or let $d = v_3$ if no other leaf exists. Consider $T' = T - \{v_1, v_2, c, d\}$. T' has order $n - 4 \geq 2(k - 1) + 1$ and by Hartnell, Vestergaard's Theorem $\gamma_c^{k-1}(T') \leq (n - 4) - (k - 1) - 1 \leq n - k - 4$. Adding v_2, v_3 to a $\gamma_c^{k-1}(T')$ -set we obtain $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 2$, a contradiction, so v_3 is not a stem. On the other hand $d_T(v_3) \geq 3$, for assume $d_T(v_3) = 2$, then $T' = T - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ has $\gamma_c^{k-1}(T') \leq n - k - 3$ and addition of v_2 gives $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 2$, a contradiction. Assume therefore that v_3 besides v_2 and v_4 is adjacent to a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t , $t \geq 1$, where each a_i has valency two and is adjacent to the leaf b_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. We have $k - t \geq 1$ because $V(T) - \{v_1, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t, v_3\}$ is a connected subgraph with $n - t - 2$ vertices which dominate T , so that $n - k - 1 = \gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - t - 2$ giving $k - t \geq 1$. Consider the tree $T' = T - \{v_1, v_2, a_1, a_2, \dots, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t, v_3\}$ of order $n - 2t - 3$. If $n - 2t - 3 \geq 2(k - t) + 1$ we obtain by Hartnell, Vestergaard's Theorem that $\gamma_c^{k-t}(T') \leq (n - 2t - 3) - (k - t) - 1 \leq n - k - t - 4$, and adding $t + 2$ vertices $\{v_2, v_3, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t\}$, forming one component, to a $\gamma_c^{k-t}(T')$ -set we obtain $\gamma_c^k(T) \leq n - k - 2$, a contradiction. So we have $n - 2t - 3 \leq 2(k - t)$ and by an earlier remark $\gamma_c^{k-t}(T') \leq \frac{n - 2t - 3}{2}$. That implies $n - k - 1 = \gamma_c^k(T) \leq \frac{n - 2t - 3}{2} + t + 2 = \frac{n + 1}{2}$ or $n \leq 2k + 3$. Together with the assumption $n \geq 2k + 3$ we get $n = 2k + 3$. Then $\gamma_c^k(T) = k + 2$ and we have $\gamma(T) \leq k + 1$ by Ore, Payan, Xuong's Theorem. Thus $\gamma(T) = k + 1$ and any $\gamma(T)$ -set consists of $k + 1$ isolated vertices. As $\gamma(T) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ the tree T is of type A or B. But T cannot be of type B, for assume \bar{T} is of

type B. Then T consists of a 3-path, uvw , with each of its ends joined to stems of corona trees, and since we have just seen that $v_3, v_{\alpha-2}$ are neither stems nor leaves, they must play the role of u, w , so $\alpha = 7$ and T consists of two subdivided stars centered at $u = v_3$ and $w = v_5$ and a vertex $v = v_4$ joined to u and w . This graph T has a γ -set with two adjacent vertices v_2 and v_3 , a contradiction, so T is of type A. Using, in analogy to v_2, v_3 , that $d_T(v_{\alpha-1}) = 2$ and that $v_{\alpha-2}$ is not a stem, we get that $\alpha = 5$ and T is a subdivided star so that (iii) occurs.

Conversely, it is easy to see that if (i), (ii) or (iii) holds then $\gamma_c^k(T) = \gamma(T) = n - k + 1$. This proves Theorem 4.

□

References

- X. Baogen, E. Cockayne, T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, and Z. Shangchao. Extremal graphs for inequalities involving domination parameters. *Discrete Math.*, 216(1-3):1–10, 2000.
- P. Duchet and H. Meyniel. On hadwiger’s number and stability number. *Ann. Discr. Math.*, 13:71–74, 1982.
- J. F. Fink, M. S. Jacobsen, L. F. Kinch, and J. Roberts. On graphs having domination number half their order. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, pages 287–293, 1985.
- B. Hartnell and P. Vestergaard. Dominating sets with at most k components. *Ars Combinatoria*, 2003a. Accepted for publication.
- B. Hartnell and P. Vestergaard. Partitions and domination in a graph. *J. of Combin. Math and Combin. Comput. JCMCC*, 46:113–128, 2003b.
- S. Hedetniemi and R. Laskar. Connected domination in graphs. *B. Bollabas, ed.: Graph theory and Combinatorics. Academic Press, London*, 1984.
- J. Niemen. Two bounds for the domination number of a graph. *J. Inst. Math. Applics.*, 13:183–187, 1974.
- O. Ore. *Theory of Graphs*, volume 38 of *Amer. Soc. Colloq. Publ.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1962.

- C. Payan and N. H. Xuong. Domination-balanced graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 6:23–32, 1982.
- B. Randerath and L. Volkmann. Characterization of graphs with equal domination and covering number. *Discrete Math.*, 191(1-3):159–169, 1998.
- Z. Tuza and P. Vestergaard. Domination in partitioned graphs. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 22:199–210, 2002.
- T.W.Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, and P. Slater. *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, 1998.